PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE

Agency: United States Patent and Trademark Office

ATTN: Kate Kudrewicz/52-PAPT-1-01017

Office of Procurement

Box 6

Washington, DC 20231

(703) 305-8324

I. CONTRACT INFORMATION

1. Contractor Name/Add	dress:	_
2. Contract Number:		_
3. Contract type:		
	() CPAF () ID/IQ () BOA () Labor Hour () T&M () Other e (show option years, if any):	
6. Brief description of se	ervices/supplies provided:	
		
7. Type of Award:	(a) competitive(b) non competitive(c) 8(a)(d) other:	

Instructions: For each question/item Under Sections II thru V, choose the adjective that best describes the contractor's work on the project. Provide your comments on the comment lines. If a specific question/item does not pertain to your contract, write N/A. Please include a brief explanation for all ratings. The adjective scale is defined below:				
Outstanding Contractor clearly excels in performing the requirements of the contract.				
Satisfactory Contractor meets all requirements of the contract.				
<u>Unsatisfactory</u> Contractor did not meet the requirements of the contract.				
II. OVERALL CONTRACT PERFORMANCE				
Rating:() Outstanding () Satisfactory () Unsatisfactory				
Comment:				
III. MANAGEMENT PERFORMANCE				
1. Contractor's ability to recruit and maintain qualified and reliable personnel.				
Rating:() Outstanding () Satisfactory () Unsatisfactory				
Comment:				
2. Ability to accurately estimate and control costs to complete tasks.				
Rating:() Outstanding () Satisfactory () Unsatisfactory				

3. Ability to effectively manage subcontractors.
Rating:() Outstanding () Satisfactory () Unsatisfactory
Comment:
4. Overall management performance, i.e. planning, scheduling and monitoring the contract
Rating:() Outstanding () Satisfactory () Unsatisfactory
Comment:
5. Contractor's effectiveness in interfacing with the Government's staff.
Rating:() Outstanding () Satisfactory () Unsatisfactory
Comment:
6. Ability of Contractor's staff to display a team approach to accomplish the work when applicable.
Rating:() Outstanding () Satisfactory () Unsatisfactory
Comment:

7. Contractor's performance implementing a formal technical methodology and approach in performing the work.
Rating:() Outstanding () Satisfactory () Unsatisfactory
Comment:
8. Contractor's performance using Contractor's technical methodology and approach.
Rating:() Outstanding () Satisfactory () Unsatisfactory
Comment:
9. Contractor's performance when formal escalation procedures are necessary to performing the work.
Rating:() Outstanding () Satisfactory () Unsatisfactory
Comment:
10. Contractor's performance implementing and using a quality assurance program.
Rating:() Outstanding () Satisfactory () Unsatisfactory
Comment:
11. Overall Rating Management performance:
() Outstanding () Satisfactory () Unsatisfactory

IV. TECHNICAL PERFORMANCE

1. Contractor responded positively and promptly to technical direction and/or contract revisions
Rating:() Outstanding () Satisfactory () Unsatisfactory
Comment:
2. Deliverables were provided on time.
Rating:() Outstanding () Satisfactory () Unsatisfactory
Comment:
3. Contractor reports/other documentation submitted were accurate and complete.
Rating:() Outstanding () Satisfactory () Unsatisfactory
Comment:
4. Contractor addressed and solved any problems arising during contract performance in an effective and expeditious manner.
Rating:() Outstanding () Satisfactory () Unsatisfactory
Comment:
 Contractor displayed the ability to identify and solve problems effectively and expeditiously.
Rating:() Outstanding () Satisfactory () Unsatisfactory
Comment:

6. Overall Rating for Technical Performance: () Outstanding () Satisfactory () Unsatisfactory
V. INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SUPPLIES/SERVICES
1. Did the Contractor deliver equipment on schedule? () Yes () No () Not Applicable If late, how late and how often:
2. Was the Contractor required to correct problems with equipment? () Yes () No () Not Applicable If yes, was the problem corrected within the required response time?
3. Was the Contractor required to provide any training services under the contract? () Yes () No () Not Applicable If yes, did the Contractor provide satisfactory training services and if not, please provide a briestatement.
4. Was the Contractor required to provide programming of custom designed scripts? () Yes () No () Not Applicable If so, did the software developed by the Contractor meet the original user requirements, was it delivered on time and developed within cost?
5. Overall Rating for Information Technology Supplies/Services : () Outstanding () Satisfactory () Unsatisfactory

VI. CUSTOMER SATISFACTION

Source Selection Sensitive RFP 52-PAPT-1-01017 Page 6 of 8

1. Contractor demonstrated a cooperative, business-like attitude and concern with the interests of your agency?					
Rating:() Outstanding () Satisfactory () Unsatisfactory Comment:					
Comment:					
3. Have you ever terminated a contract with this Contractor? () Yes () No () Not Applicable Comment:					
4. Were there any other problems on the contract? () Yes () No () Not Applicable					
Comment:					
5. Would you award this Contractor another contract? () Yes () No () Not Applicable					
Comment: 6. Overall Rating for Customer Satisfaction: () Outstanding () Satisfactory () Unsatisfactory					

VI. NARRATIVE SUMMARY

Source Selection Sensitive RFP 52-PAPT-1-01017 Page 7 of 8

Please use this section to add any other information not in beneficial.	cluded above that you feel would be
Individual completing the questionnaire:	
Printed Name, Title	
Organization	
Date	
Phone No.	
Thank you for your time and effort to help us evaluate	e this Contractor.
Please return the completed questionnaire by FAX to:	
(703) 305-8294	
ATTN: Kate Kudrewicz/52-PAPT-1-01017	
or mail to the following address:	
United States Patent and Trademark Office ATTN: Kate Kudrewicz/52-PAPT-1-01017 Office of Procurement Box 6 Washington, DC 20231	